

QUANTIZATION OF VOLUME-PRESERVING ACTIONS ON $\mathbb{R}^d$

BENOIT DHERIN AND IGOR MENCATTINI

ABSTRACT. We associate a space of unitary representations (which we call quantizations) with a volume-preserving action of a Lie group on $\mathbb{R}^d$. These representations are expressed in terms of certain Fourier integral operators deforming the pullback of functions by diffeomorphisms. They are determined by a system of amplitudes, which we show to be controlled by a Maurer-Cartan equation in an appropriate differential graded algebra. We apply our quantization scheme to the Heisenberg group by quantizing its adjoint action.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Relation with deformation theory and further directions	3
Organization of the article	4
Acknowledgments	5
2. Preliminaries	5
2.1. Fourier integral operators	5
2.2. Pseudodifferential operators	5
2.3. A class of bounded FIOs	6
3. Quantization of G -actions	8
3.1. G -systems and quantization	8
3.2. Geometric quantization intermezzo	9
3.3. Examples of G -systems	10
4. The complex of G -amplitudes	13
References	15

1. INTRODUCTION

The question of quantizing a smooth action φ of a Lie group G on a manifold M has received different (although related) answers depending on the particular structures at hand on the manifold, the type of Lie groups acting, the type of actions, and the quantization theory used.

When the manifold is symplectic, and the action is hamiltonian and admits a momentum map, both geometric quantization theory (see [11, 14] for instance) and deformation

quantization theory (see [3, 6, 5, 22, 19] for instance) have their own notion of quantization. On the other hand, in [18] Rieffel, using ideas of deformation quantization, introduced a notion of action quantization that supposes no symplectic structure on the manifold to begin with if the group acting is $\mathbb{R}^d$. This program has been extended to various other groups and cases (see [6, 7, 21]).

In this paper, we propose a notion of quantization for **volume-preserving actions** φ of a Lie group G on $\mathbb{R}^d$. By “volume preserving”, we mean that $|\varphi'_g(x)| = 1$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ (with the additional condition that φ_g and all of its derivatives are bounded for all $g \in G$). Our quantization techniques rely essentially on methods of semiclassical analysis and Fourier integral operator theory (see [8, 10, 12, 15] for general references). We leave the general case of a volume-preserving action on a measured manifold for a sequel to this paper.

Our initial motivation was to develop an *adjoint* orbit method (similar to the *coadjoint* orbit method of Kirillov [13]) to produce irreducible representations of a Lie group by quantizing its adjoint action and restricting the obtained quantization to the adjoint orbits. We apply here our quantization scheme to the Heisenberg group, in which case we obtain some encouraging results.

What we call “action quantization” in this paper is closer in spirit to the geometric (pre)quantization of hamiltonian actions as in [14, 11] than to the corresponding notions in deformation quantization (see [3, 6, 5, 19, 22]).

Roughly, our goal is to associate with a volume-preserving action φ of a Lie group G on $\mathbb{R}^d$ a space $\mathbf{Rep}_\varphi(G)$ of unitary representations of G (each of which we call a **quantization**) on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of square integrable functions.

There is a trivial quantization that comes to mind: namely, the representation induced by pulling back the functions on M by the subgroup of diffeomorphisms on the manifold that underlies the action:

$$T_g\psi(x) = \psi(\varphi_g^{-1}(x)), \quad g \in G, \quad \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

When the action is *volume preserving*, this representation preserves the space of square integrable functions, and it is unitary.

The idea now is to obtain our space $\mathbf{Rep}_\varphi(G)$ of quantizations by “deforming” in a certain sense the trivial quantization T . For this, we use a certain class of Fourier Integral Operators (FIO), which have the general form

$$\mathrm{Op}(a, \varphi)\psi(x) = \int \psi(\bar{x})a(x, \bar{\xi})e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\langle \bar{\xi}, \varphi^{-1}(x) - \bar{x} \rangle} \frac{d\bar{\xi}d\bar{x}}{(2\pi\hbar)^d},$$

where φ is a diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Under certain conditions on the amplitude a (bounded along with all of its derivatives) and the diffeomorphism φ (volume preserving), we prove that these FIOs are continuous operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and that they are closed under operator composition.

The starting point of our approach is that the trivial quantization T associated with a volume-preserving action φ of a Lie group G on $\mathbb{R}^d$ can be written in terms of these

FIOs: namely,

$$T_g\psi(x) = \text{Op}(1, \varphi_g).$$

We can now construct other quantizations by deforming the trivial one with non-trivial amplitudes:

$$(1.1) \quad T_g^a\psi(x) = \text{Op}(a_g, \varphi_g).$$

Thus, the main objects of study in this paper are these collections $\{a_g(x, \xi)\}_{g \in G}$ of amplitudes that produce unitary representations of G . We call them **G -systems of amplitudes**.

As a next goal, we tackle the task of finding actual examples of G -systems and methods for computing them.

The first interesting example of G -systems comes from geometric quantization. Namely, when the action is a hamiltonian action admitting a momentum map on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ (endowed with its canonical symplectic form), the geometric (pre)quantization of this action in the sense of [11, 14, 20] will give a G -system (provided some conditions on the group and the action are met). In other words, the representation obtained by geometric quantization of a hamiltonian action is a point in our space of quantizations $\mathbf{Rep}_\varphi(G)$, as will be explained in Section 3.2. A peculiar aspect of this G -system is that it is independent of the variable ξ .

It turns out that the G -systems of the latter type (i.e., independent of ξ) are always of the form

$$a_g(x) = e^{iS_g(x)},$$

where the phase S is a cocycle in a certain complex of phases. This gives us a method to compute them, which we apply to the adjoint action of the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_3$. We obtain in this way several unitary representations of $\mathcal{H}_3$ on the square integrable functions on its Lie algebra.

Actually, it is possible to generalize this complex of phases so as to include G -systems that also depend on the variable ξ . However, the chain complex must then be replaced by a special Differential Graded Algebra (DGA) and the cocycle condition by a Maurer-Cartan equation. We call this DGA the **complex of G -amplitudes** associated with a volume-preserving action of G on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We show that the Maurer-Cartan elements in this DGA are in one-to-one correspondence with (possibly nonunitary) G -systems for this action. In this sense, this DGA controls the deformations of the trivial quantization.

Relation with deformation theory and further directions. Let us conclude this introduction by mentioning some related questions in the deformation theory of both Poisson manifolds and Lie algebra morphisms. Though these questions may be interesting to investigate further, we will not pursue them in this article.

In deformation quantization ([5]), one quantizes an action (by Poisson diffeomorphisms) of a Lie group G on a Poisson manifold M by constructing G -equivariant star-products on M . This notion is somewhat different whether one considers formal deformations, as in [5], or strict ones, as in [19]. However, both notions of G -equivariance require, as a defining condition, that $T_g\psi_1 \star T_g\psi_2 = T_g(\psi_1 \star \psi_2)$, where ψ_1 and ψ_2 lie in an

appropriate space of “functions” on M (which varies depending on the formal or strict context) and T is the aforementioned trivial quantization of the action. Despite the compatibility between the action and the Poisson structure, the star-products quantizing the Poisson structure are generally not G -equivariant (G -equivariant star-products may even not exist at all; see [4]). Thus, in some cases, one also needs to “deform” the action to obtain G -equivariance (for the deformed action), as in [2, 3] in the formal case (for the corresponding infinitesimal action), or as in [19] for strict quantization of the Heisenberg manifolds.

The latter case is specially interesting for us, since the deformation of the action φ of the Heisenberg group G on the Heisenberg manifolds is of the form (1.1) for a certain G -system independent of ξ (see [19, p. 557]). It would be interesting to see if, for a given (strict) star-product on a Poisson manifold on which a Lie group G acts by Poisson diffeomorphisms, one can always find a deformation of the trivial quantization in our space of quantization $\mathbf{Rep}_\varphi(G)$ that is G -equivariant.

There is also a way in which our quantization theory for actions may be related to the general theory of Lie morphism deformations as in [16], and, more specifically, to the work of Ovsienko and collaborators ([1, 17]) on embeddings of the Lie algebra of vector fields into various Lie algebras (and, in particular, the Lie algebra of pseudodifferential operators). Namely, the infinitesimal version of the trivial quantization of an action yields an embedding from a Lie subalgebra of the vector fields on the manifold into its Lie algebra of pseudodifferential operators. Then the infinitesimal representations associated with our quantizations (i.e., the space of Lie algebra representations corresponding to the unitary representations in $\mathbf{Rep}_\varphi(G)$) should, in a sense, be related to deformations of this embedding.

It would also be interesting to see if the various obstructions and actual deformations obtained in this infinitesimal context can be applied to our setting.

Organization of the article. In Section 2, we recall some basic notions in the theory of Fourier integral operators, and we give conditions on the amplitudes a and on the diffeomorphisms φ so that FIOs of the form $\text{Op}(a, \varphi)$ are continuous unitary operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, we compute a formula for the composition of amplitudes corresponding to the composition of operators.

In Section 3, we introduce our quantization scheme and the associated G -systems of amplitudes. We provide a method for computing G -systems whose amplitudes are independent of the variable ξ ; this amounts to finding a cocycle in a certain complex. We apply this method to the case of the adjoint action of the Heisenberg group on its Lie algebra, and we obtain in this way several unitary representations.

In Section 4, we work out a Differential Graded Algebra (DGA) whose Maurer-Cartan elements are in one-to-one correspondence with (nonunitary) G -systems. Rewriting this equation for an asymptotic expansion of the amplitudes, we obtain an infinite system of recursive equations that a priori could allow us to find G -systems that also depend on ξ .

Acknowledgments. We thank Ugo Bruzzo, Alberto Cattaneo, Gianni Landi, Marc Rieffel, and Alan Weinstein for useful feedback and for pointing us toward related works, as well as the hospitality of UC Berkeley and SISSA, where part of this project was conducted. B.D. acknowledges partial support from FAPESP grant 2010/15069-8 and the University of São Paulo.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, we will consider $\mathbb{R}^d$ with its canonical coordinates $x = (x_1, \dots, x_d)$, and we will identify its cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{R}^d$ with $\mathbb{R}^{2d} = \mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$, where $(\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ is the dual to $\mathbb{R}^d$ with dual coordinates $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_d)$. The pairing between $\mathbb{R}^d$ and $(\mathbb{R}^d)^*$, will be denoted by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ so that $\langle \xi, x \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^d \xi_i x_i$. Also, $\frac{d\bar{\xi} d\bar{x}}{(2\pi\hbar)^d}$ will stand for the Lebesgue measure on $T^*\mathbb{R}^d$.

2.1. Fourier integral operators. A **Fourier Integral Operator** (or FIO) on $\mathbb{R}^d$ is an integral operator, denoted by $\text{Op}(a, S)$, of the form

$$(2.1) \quad \text{Op}(a, S)\psi(x) = \int \psi(\bar{x})a(x, \bar{\xi})e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}S(\bar{\xi}, \bar{x}, x)} \frac{d\bar{\xi} d\bar{x}}{(2\pi\hbar)^d}$$

from the space $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of compactly supported smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ to the space $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of distribution on $\mathbb{R}^d$. In Formula (2.1) a is a smooth function on $\mathbb{R}^d \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^*$ called the **amplitude** or the **total symbol** of the Fourier integral operator, while S is a smooth function on $(\mathbb{R}^d)^* \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$ called the **phase** of the operator. (More generally, one can define the phase on $\Lambda \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, where Λ is a more general space of parameters than $(\mathbb{R}^d)^*$; see [8, 12] for a presentation of the full theory.)

A general problem is to find suitable conditions on both the amplitudes and the phases so as to obtain a class of FIOs that enjoys the following nice properties:

- the operator composition is closed when restricted to this class of FIOs (which is in general not the case)
- the operators can be extended to continuous operators on the space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of square integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$

We now present two classes of FIOs that have these good properties.

2.2. Pseudodifferential operators. A **pseudodifferential operator** is a Fourier integral operator with phase $S(\bar{\xi}, \bar{x}, x) = \langle \bar{\xi}, x - \bar{x} \rangle$. In other words, it is an integral operator of the form

$$(2.2) \quad (\text{Op}(a)\psi)(x) = \int \psi(\bar{x})a(x, \bar{\xi})e^{\frac{i}{\hbar}\langle \bar{\xi}, (x - \bar{x}) \rangle} \frac{d\bar{\xi} d\bar{x}}{(2\pi\hbar)^d}.$$

Following [15], we define the algebra of bounded symbols:

$$S_{2d}(1) := \{a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) : a \text{ is bounded together with all its derivatives}\}.$$

Theorem 1. (Calderon-Vaillancourt [9]). *If $a \in S_{2d}(1)$, then $\text{Op}(a)$ is a continuous operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.*

The class of pseudodifferential operators with bounded symbols is closed under composition: Namely, we have that

$$\text{Op}(a) \circ \text{Op}(b) = \text{Op}(a \star_{\text{Moyal}} b),$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad (a \star_{\text{Moyal}} b)(x, \xi) = \int a(x, \bar{\xi}) b(\bar{x}, \xi) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \bar{\xi} - \xi, (x - \bar{x}) \rangle} \frac{d\bar{\xi} d\bar{x}}{(2\pi\hbar)^d}.$$

is the **Moyal product** between (bounded) symbols (see [10] for instance).

Remark 2. The correspondence $a \mapsto \text{Op}(a)$ is called a **quantization**. There is a one-parameter family of quantizations

$$(\text{Op}_t(a))\psi(x) = \int a(tx + (1-t)\bar{x}, \bar{\xi}) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \bar{\xi}, x - \bar{x} \rangle} \frac{d\bar{\xi} d\bar{x}}{(2\pi\hbar)^d}, \quad t \in [0, 1],$$

each of which define an associative products $\star_t$ satisfying

$$(2.4) \quad \text{Op}_t(a) \circ \text{Op}_t(b) = \text{Op}_t(a \star_t b).$$

They are given by the general formula

$$(2.5) \quad (a \star_t b)(x, \xi) = \int a(tx + (1-t)\bar{x}, \bar{\xi}) b(t\bar{x} + (1-t)x, \xi) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \bar{\xi} - \xi, x - \bar{x} \rangle} \frac{d\bar{\xi} d\bar{x}}{(2\pi\hbar)^d}$$

which interpolates between the *usual* Moyal product (obtained for $t = \frac{1}{2}$) and the product $\star_{\text{Moyal}}$ that we introduced in (2.3) (obtained for $t = 1$); see [10] for more details.

2.3. A class of bounded FIOs. Let $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the group of diffeomorphisms of $\mathbb{R}^d$. We will now consider Fourier integral operators $\text{Op}(a, S)$ for which the phase is of the form

$$S(\bar{\xi}, \bar{x}, x) = \langle \bar{\xi}, \varphi^{-1}(x) - \bar{x} \rangle,$$

where φ is a diffeomorphism on $\mathbb{R}^d$ of a special type.

Definition 3. Let $\text{Diff}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the set formed by the diffeomorphisms $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ that have all of their derivatives bounded and that are volume preserving (i.e such that $|\varphi'(x)| = 1$).

Lemma 4. $\text{Diff}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a subgroup of $\text{Diff}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. The inverse of a volume-preserving diffeomorphism is volume preserving, and the composition of two volume-preserving diffeomorphisms is also volume preserving. The derivatives of φ are bounded, and so are the derivatives of the inverse φ^{-1} . Since the derivatives of the composition $\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2^{-1}$ can be expressed as a sum of products of the derivatives of φ_1 and φ_2^{-1} , we have that they are bounded. Thus, $\varphi_1 \circ \varphi_2^{-1}$ belongs to $\text{Diff}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$. $\square$

Consider now the action of $\text{Diff}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ on $C^\infty(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$ defined by

$$(2.6) \quad (\varphi a)(x, \xi) = a(\varphi^{-1}x, \xi).$$

Lemma 5. *The action (2.6) preserves $S_{2d}(1)$.*

Proof. If $a(x, \xi)$ is bounded, then so is $a(\varphi^{-1}(x), \xi)$. Similarly, all the derivatives of $(\varphi x)(x, \xi)$ are bounded, since they are sums of products of bounded derivatives. $\square$

Lemma 6. *Let $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then the pullback*

$$t_\varphi(\psi)(x) := \psi(\varphi^{-1}(x))$$

is a continuous and unitary operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. Given any $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have that

$$\int |\psi(\varphi^{-1}(x))|^2 dx = \int |\psi(y)|^2 |(\varphi)'(y)| dy = \int |\psi(y)|^2 dy,$$

and so t_φ sends $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ into itself. Moreover, it is unitary: If $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the same change of variables as above shows that

$$(t_\varphi \psi_1, t_\varphi \psi_2) = (\psi_1, \psi_2),$$

since, again, $|(\varphi)'(y)| = 1$. $\square$

Proposition 7. *Given $a \in S_{2d}(1)$ and $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the corresponding FIO*

$$(2.7) \quad \text{Op}(a, \varphi)\psi(x) = \int \psi(\bar{x}) a(x, \bar{\xi}) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \bar{\xi}, \varphi^{-1}(x) - \bar{x} \rangle} \frac{d\bar{\xi} d\bar{x}}{(2\pi\hbar)^d},$$

is a continuous linear operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Moreover, if the amplitude satisfies the additional condition

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{1}{(2\pi\hbar)^{\frac{d}{2}}} \int a^*(\varphi(x), \xi) a(\varphi(x), \bar{\xi}) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \langle x, \xi - \bar{\xi} \rangle} dx = \delta(\xi - \bar{\xi}),$$

where δ is the delta function, then $\text{Op}(a, \varphi)$ is unitary.

Proof. We first notice that

$$\text{Op}(a, \varphi) = t_\varphi \circ \text{Op}(\varphi^{-1}a),$$

which is a composition of continuous linear operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ thanks to Theorem 1, Lemma 5, and Lemma 6. A direct computation shows that (2.8) implies unitarity. $\square$

We now show that the FIOs of the form (2.7) are closed under operator composition by defining a Moyal type product for their symbols.

Proposition 8. *Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \text{Diff}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $a, b \in S_{2d}(1)$, then*

$$(2.9) \quad \text{Op}(a, \varphi_1) \circ \text{Op}(a, \varphi_2) = \text{Op}(a_{\varphi_1} \star_{\varphi_2} b, \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1)$$

where $(a_{\varphi_1} \star_{\varphi_2} b)(x, \xi)$ is given by the integral

$$(2.10) \quad \int a(x, \bar{\xi}) b(\bar{x}, \xi) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \xi, \varphi_1^{-1}(\bar{x}) - \varphi_1^{-1} \circ \varphi_2^{-1}(x) \rangle + \langle \bar{\xi}, \varphi_1^{-1}(x) - \bar{x} \rangle} \frac{d\bar{\xi} d\bar{x}}{(2\pi\hbar)^d}$$

Proof. We first compute the composition

$$\left(\text{Op}(a, \varphi_1) \text{Op}(b, \varphi_2) \psi \right)(x)$$

directly, and we obtain

$$\int \psi(\tilde{x}) a(x, \bar{\xi}) b(\bar{x}, \tilde{\xi}) e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \langle \bar{\xi}, \varphi_1^{-1}(x) - \bar{x} \rangle + \langle \tilde{\xi}, \varphi_2^{-1}(\bar{x}) - \tilde{x} \rangle} \frac{d\bar{\xi} d\bar{x}}{(2\pi\hbar)^d} \frac{d\tilde{\xi} d\tilde{x}}{(2\pi\hbar)^d}.$$

The phase of the oscillatory exponential in the line above can be rewritten as follows

$$\langle \tilde{\xi}, (\varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1)^{-1}(x) - \tilde{x} \rangle + \left(\langle \tilde{\xi}, \varphi_2^{-1}(\bar{x}) - \varphi_1^{-1} \circ \varphi_2^{-1}(x) \rangle + \langle \bar{\xi}, \varphi_1^{-1}(x) - \bar{x} \rangle \right)$$

so that, defining the product $(a_{\varphi_1} \star_{\varphi_2} b)$ as in (2.10), we obtain (2.9). $\square$

3. QUANTIZATION OF G -ACTIONS

3.1. G -systems and quantization. Our goal now is to *quantize* a given action φ of a Lie group G on $\mathbb{R}^d$. By this, we mean to associate (in a meaningful way) with φ a set $\mathbf{Rep}_\varphi(G)$ of unitary representations of G on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of the square integrable functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$. A representation in $\mathbf{Rep}_\varphi(G)$ will be called a quantization of the action. The first example that comes to mind of such a representation is given by the pullback of functions by the subgroup of diffeomorphisms $\varphi_g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ defining the action: namely,

$$(3.1) \quad (T_g \psi)(x) = \psi(\varphi_{g^{-1}} x), \quad g \in G.$$

However, the operators T_g as above will in general not preserve the space of square integrable functions nor even be continuous. For this to happen, we need to restrict our actions to the volume-preserving ones, as suggested by Lemma 6. To that end, we introduce the following definition:

Definition 9. A **volume-preserving action** is a smooth action φ of a Lie group G on $\mathbb{R}^d$ such that $\varphi_g \in \text{Diff}_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $g \in G$.

If φ is a volume-preserving action, (3.1) is a representation of G in the bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (Lemma 6). We will call this representation the **trivial quantization**. To define other quantizations, we first rewrite (3.1) in terms of a Fourier integral operator of the type (2.7):

$$(T_g \psi)(x) = \text{Op}(1, \varphi_g).$$

We are now led to the following definition:

Definition 10. A G -*system of amplitudes* (associated with a volume-preserving action φ of a Lie group G on $\mathbb{R}^d$) is a smooth map

$$(3.2) \quad a : G \longrightarrow S_{2d}(1)$$

such that the collection of operators

$$T_g^a := \text{Op}(a_g, \varphi_g)$$

(defined in (2.7)) forms a unitary representation of G on the bounded operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Such a representation T^a will be called a **quantization of the action**, and we will denote by $\mathbf{Rep}_\varphi(G)$ the set of all such quantizations.

Remark 11. The condition that $a_g \in S_{2d}(1)$ ensures that the operators T_g are continuous on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (Proposition 7), which makes the definition slightly redundant. To further ensure that the operators are unitary, we also may require condition (2.8) as being part of the definition; however, at times, it will be convenient to talk about **nonunitary G -system**, that is, G -systems for which we don't require the corresponding operators to be unitary.

3.2. Geometric quantization intermezzo. Our first example of a G -system will come from geometric (pre)quantization.

Suppose we have a volume-preserving action φ of a Lie group G on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ (endowed with its canonical symplectic form $\omega = \sum_i dp_i \wedge dx^i$), which is hamiltonian and which admits a momentum map

$$J : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}).$$

Observe that the condition $|\varphi'(x)| = 1$ is always satisfied, since $\varphi_g : \mathbb{R}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ is a symplectomorphism for all $g \in G$; so, here, the *volume-preserving* condition on φ is only really a condition on the boundedness of φ_g as well as on its derivatives.

Geometric quantization prescribes a way (called prequantization; see [20, ch. 8] for instance) to associate an operator $Q(f)$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ with a smooth function $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$. The operator is given by

$$Q(f) = -i\hbar X_f - \theta(X_f) + f$$

where X_f is the hamiltonian vector field of f and θ is the canonical Liouville form associated with ω (i.e. $\theta = pdq$). The map $f \mapsto Q(f)$ is a Lie morphism from $(C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2n}), \{, \}_\omega)$ to the Lie algebra $\mathcal{S}$ of self-adjoint operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ (endowed with the Lie bracket $\frac{i}{\hbar}[\cdot, \cdot]$, where $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is the operator commutator). As explained in [20, ch. 8. sec. 4], one can also associate a unitary flow on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ with the hamiltonian flow φ_t integrating X_f ; namely,

$$U_t(f)\psi(x) = \psi(\varphi_t^{-1}(x)) \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_f(\varphi_t^{-1}(x)) dt\right),$$

where $\mathcal{L}_f = \theta(X_f) - f$ and $x = (p, q) \in \mathbb{R}^{2n}$.

Now, returning to our hamiltonian action φ of G on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$, we obtain a representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ by taking the following collection of self-adjoint operators to define the representation

$$\tau_v = Q(J(v)), \quad v \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Moreover, if the Lie group G is nilpotent for instance and the hamiltonian vector fields $X_{J(v)}$ are complete for all $v \in \mathfrak{g}$, we obtain a unitary representation of G on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n})$ by taking

$$T_g^a \psi := U_1(J(v)), \quad g = \exp(v),$$

where $\exp$ is the exponential map from $\mathfrak{g}$ to G , which is a diffeomorphism for nilpotent groups. Observe that T_g^a is a quantization in $\mathbf{Rep}_\varphi(G)$ associated with the G -system

$$a_g(x) = \exp\left(\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^1 \mathcal{L}_{J(v)}(\varphi_t^{-1}(x)) dt\right), \quad g = \exp(v),$$

which is independent of ξ . In the next section, we will study further these G -systems that do not depend on the variable ξ .

Remark 12. There is yet a priori another way that we may use geometric quantization techniques to produce a quantization of a general volume-preserving action φ of a (nilpotent) Lie group G on $\mathbb{R}^d$ (note that this time we do not suppose d to be $2n$ nor the presence of a symplectic form). Namely, we can lift this action to the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathbb{R}^d \simeq \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ by considering the cotangent lifts

$$\tilde{\varphi}_g := T^*\varphi_g : T^*\mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow T^*\mathbb{R}^d, \quad g \in G,$$

which are defined as the duals to the tangent maps $T\varphi_g$ to φ_g . We obtain a hamiltonian action $\tilde{\varphi}$ of G on $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ with momentum map given by

$$(3.3) \quad J(v)(x, \xi) = \langle X_v(x), \xi \rangle,$$

where X_v is the basic vector field on $\mathbb{R}^d$ corresponding to the infinitesimal action of $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ on $\mathbb{R}^d$. The good news is that the momentum map in (3.3) produces functions $J(v)$ for each $v \in \mathfrak{g}$ for which the unitary operators $U_t(J(v))$ as above preserve the vertical polarization, and therefore the representation of G on $L^2(T^*\mathbb{R}^d)$ obtained by prequantization descends to a unitary representation on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (as explained in [20]). Thus, we may expect to get this way a quantization of φ in $\mathbf{Rep}_\varphi(G)$. The bad news is that, in this case, we always have

$$\mathcal{L}_{J(v)} = 0,$$

for all $v \in \mathfrak{g}$. As a consequence, the induced representation of G on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is nothing but the trivial quantization, that is, the one corresponding to the trivial G -system $a_g = 1$ for all $g \in G$.

3.3. Examples of G -systems.

3.3.1. G -systems independent of ξ . Let φ be a volume-preserving action of G on $\mathbb{R}^d$. We are looking for G -systems associated with this action for which the amplitudes do not depend on ξ . For this, the following complex will be useful:

Let us denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the space of smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^d$ with all of their derivatives bounded. A similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 5 shows that $\mathcal{B}$ is a left G -module with respect to the action

$$(g \cdot S)(x) = S(\varphi_g^{-1}(x)), \quad S \in \mathcal{B}.$$

Observe that, in contrast with $S_d(1)$, we do not require that a function in $\mathcal{B}$ be bounded (only its derivatives). We further turn $\mathcal{B}$ into a G -bimodule by considering the trivial right action of G on $\mathcal{B}$. Now consider the group cohomology with values in the bimodule

$\mathcal{B}$. The corresponding space $C^k(G, \mathcal{B})$ of (normalized) k -cochains is given by the smooth maps

$$S : G^k \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}$$

such that $S_{g_1, \dots, g_k} = 0$ if one of the g_i 's is the group unit. The differential

$$\delta : C^k(G, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow C^{k+1}(G, \mathcal{B})$$

is given by the usual formula

$$(\delta c)_{g_1, \dots, g_{k+1}} = g_1 \cdot c_{g_2, \dots, g_{k+1}} - c_{g_1 g_2, \dots, g_k} + \dots \pm c_{g_1, \dots, g_k g_{k+1}} \mp c_{g_1, \dots, g_k},$$

where the right action by g_{k+1} on the last term is the trivial action.

Proposition 13. *A G -system is independent of ξ iff it is of the form*

$$(3.4) \quad a_g(x) = e^{iS_g(x)},$$

where S_g is a 1-cocycle in $C^\bullet(G, V)$. Moreover, the corresponding operators are given by

$$T_g^a \psi(x) = e^{iS_g(x)} \psi(x).$$

Proof. Suppose a_g is of the form (3.4). Since $S_g \in \mathcal{B}$, we have that $a_g \in S_{2d}(1)$, and Proposition 7 guarantees that T_g^a is a continuous operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The unitarity follows from the fact that $a_g^*(x)a_g(x) = 1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Conversely, the operators corresponding to a G -system $a_g(x)$ that is independent of ξ are of the form

$$T_g^a \psi(x) = a_g(x) \psi(\varphi_g^{-1}(x)).$$

The unitarity condition for these operators is equivalent to the condition

$$\int (1 - a_g^*(\varphi_g(x))a_g(\varphi_g(x))) \psi_1^*(x) \psi_2(x) = 0,$$

for all $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, which in turns is equivalent to $a_g^*(x)a_g(x) = 1$. The only functions satisfying this last condition are of the form $e^{iS_g(x)}$. Now observe that, for an amplitude of this form, $a_g \in S_{2d}(1)$ if and only if $S_g \in \mathcal{B}$.

Let us check now that $a_g(x) = e^{iS_g(x)}$ with $S \in C^1(G, \mathcal{B})$ is a G -system if and only if $\delta S = 0$. For this, we observe that

$$T_{g_1 g_2}^a \psi(x) = e^{iS_{g_1 g_2}(x)} \psi(\varphi_{g_1 g_2}^{-1}(x))$$

is equal to

$$T_{g_1}^a T_{g_2}^a \psi(x) = e^{i(S_{g_1}(x) + S_{g_2}(\varphi_{g_1}^{-1}(x)))} \psi(\varphi_{g_1 g_2}^{-1}(x))$$

if and only if

$$S_{g_2}(\varphi_{g_1}^{-1}(x)) - S_{g_1 g_2}(x) + S_{g_1}(x) = 0,$$

that is if and only if $\delta S = 0$. At last, let us notice that the normalization condition for cochains $a \in C^1(G, \mathcal{B})$ is equivalent to $T_e^a = \text{id}$. $\square$

In the next section, we will work out a similar cohomological equation (a Maurer-Cartan equation) for general G -systems (i.e. with a dependence on ξ).

Example 14. Let $h \in \mathcal{B}$ be invariant under the action of G (i.e. $h(\varphi_g^{-1}(x)) = h(x)$ for all x and g). For any smooth function $c : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that satisfies

$$(3.5) \quad c_1 = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c_{g_1 g_2} = c_{g_1} + c_{g_2},$$

we verify that $S_g(x) = h(x)c_g$ is a cocycle. As a consequence, the family of amplitudes

$$a_g(x) = e^{i \sum_k h_k(x) c_g^k}, \quad g \in G,$$

is a G -system, where $h_1, \dots, h_n$ are invariant functions in $\mathcal{B}$ and $c^1, \dots, c^n$ are smooth functions from G to $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (3.5).

3.3.2. The 3d-Heisenberg group. The 3-dimensional Heisenberg group $\mathcal{H}_3$ is the simplest nilpotent Lie group. As a manifold, it is a 3-dimensional (real) vector space, which we will identify with $\mathbb{R}^3$ (after a choice of a basis). A point $g \in \mathcal{H}_3$ will be denoted by a triple of greek letters $g = (\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$. The corresponding group law is explicitly given by

$$(\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1) \cdot (\alpha_2, \beta_2, \gamma_2) = (\alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \beta_1 + \beta_2, \gamma_1 + \gamma_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\alpha_2 \beta_1 - \alpha_1 \beta_2)).$$

Since the exponential map of a nilpotent Lie group is a diffeomorphism from the Lie algebra to the Lie group, we have that $\mathcal{H}_3$ is diffeomorphic to its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_3 \simeq \mathbb{R}^3$, whose generic point we will denote by (x, y, z) . The adjoint action of the Lie group $\mathcal{H}_3$ on its Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_3$ is given by

$$(3.6) \quad \text{Ad}_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}(x, y, z) = (x, y, z + \alpha y - \beta x).$$

It follows from (3.6) that there are two kinds of orbits: the 0-dimensional ones and the 1-dimensional ones. More precisely, every line parallel to (but different from) the z -line is an orbit, and every point of the z -line is an adjoint orbit in itself.

Our goal now is to quantize the adjoint action of $\mathcal{H}_3$ on its Lie algebra by looking for G -systems independent of ξ .

Example 15. We follow here the strategy outlined in Example 14. First of all, we observe that any function $h : \mathfrak{h}_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ independent of z is invariant under the adjoint action. We could take for instance $h_1(x, y, z) = x$ and $h_2(x, y, z) = y$, which are both in $\mathcal{B}$. Now, the two projections

$$c^1(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad c^2(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \beta$$

satisfy (3.5). Therefore $S_g = h_1 c^1 + h_2 c^2$ is a cocycle, and it gives us the following unitary representation of the Heisenberg group on $L^2(\mathfrak{h}_3)$:

$$T_{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)}^a \psi(x, y, z) = e^{i(\alpha x + \beta y)} \psi(x, y, z + \beta x - \alpha y).$$

There is a number of ways one could modify this example: for instance by taking $h_1 c^2 + h_2 c^1$, or by looking for other h 's and c 's.

Let us look at an essentially different example (i.e. one that does not arise as a particular case of Example 14).

Example 16. A direct computation shows that the phase

$$S_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}(x,y,z) = (\gamma + \frac{\alpha\beta}{2})x - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}y + \alpha z$$

is a cocycle. However, this phase can not be put in the form $\sum h_i c^{(i)}$ as in Example 14 because of its dependence on z (which prevents it from being invariant under the adjoint action). The corresponding representation is given by

$$T_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}^a \psi(x,y,z) = e^{i((\gamma + \frac{\alpha\beta}{2})x - \frac{\alpha^2}{2}y + \alpha z)} \psi(x,y,z + \beta x - \alpha y).$$

Observe that if we restrict this representation to the square integrable functions on the 1-dimensional adjoint orbit given by the line with $x = 1$ and $y = 0$, we obtain the usual irreducible Schrödinger representation

$$T_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)}^a \psi(z) = e^{i(\gamma + \frac{\alpha\beta}{2} + \alpha z)} \psi(z + \beta x).$$

In the next section, we develop a recursive method to find general G -systems (i.e. also depending on ξ) in terms of an asymptotic expansion of their amplitudes.

4. THE COMPLEX OF G -AMPLITUDES

We now define a Differential Graded Algebra (or DGA for short) associated with a volume-preserving action φ of a Lie group G on $\mathbb{R}^d$ for which the Maurer-Cartan elements correspond to (nonunitary) G -systems of amplitudes. Roughly, the elements of degree k in this DGA are amplitudes depending smoothly on k group variables, and the graded product corresponds to the composition of the Fourier integral operators that one can naturally associate with these amplitudes using the action as a phase.

More precisely, for any $k \geq 0$, we define the space of k -cochains by

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{A}^k = \{a : G \times \cdots \times G \rightarrow S_{2d}(1)\}, \quad \mathcal{A}^0 = S_{2d}(1),$$

such that $a_{e,\dots,e} = 1$ with e being the group unit. The differential $d : \mathcal{A}^k \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k+1}$ is defined by

$$(4.2) \quad (da)(g_1, \dots, g_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^i a(g_1, \dots, g_i g_{i+1}, \dots, g_{k+1}),$$

which we extend by $\mathbb{C}$ -linearity to $\mathcal{A}^\bullet = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} \mathcal{A}^k$. This turns $(\mathcal{A}^\bullet, d)$ into a complex, which we call the **complex of G -amplitudes**.

Let us now define a graded associative product on $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$. To an element $a \in \mathcal{A}^k$, we can assign the following collection of Fourier integral operators

$$T_{g_1, \dots, g_k}^a := \text{Op}(a_{g_1, \dots, g_k}, \varphi_{g_1 \dots g_k}), \quad (g_1, \dots, g_k) \in G^k,$$

which depends smoothly on the group variables. The composition of these operators for $a \in \mathcal{A}^k$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}^l$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} T_{g_1, \dots, g_k}^a \circ T_{g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{k+l}}^b &= \text{Op}(a_{g_1, \dots, g_k}, \varphi_{g_1 \dots g_k}) \circ \text{Op}(b_{g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{k+l}}, \varphi_{g_{k+1} \dots g_{k+l}}) \\ &= \text{Op}(a_{g_1, \dots, g_k} \tilde{\star} b_{g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{k+l}}, \varphi_{g_1 \dots g_{k+l}}), \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{g_1, \dots, g_k} \tilde{\star} b_{g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{k+l}}$ is a shorthand for the product of amplitudes defined in 2.10:

$$\left(a(g_1, \dots, g_k) \right)_{\varphi_{g_1 \dots g_k}} \star_{\varphi_{g_{k+1} \dots g_{k+l}}} \left(b(g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{k+l}) \right).$$

This leads us to define a graded associative product on the complex of G -amplitudes

$$\star : \mathcal{A}^k \times \mathcal{A}^l \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}^{k+l}$$

in the following way: Given $a \in \mathcal{A}^k$ and $b \in \mathcal{A}^l$, we define

$$(4.3) \quad (a \star b)(g_1, \dots, g_{k+l}) = a_{g_1, \dots, g_k} \tilde{\star} b_{g_{k+1}, \dots, g_{k+l}},$$

which turns $\mathcal{A}^\bullet$ into a graded algebra with the nice property that

$$T^a \circ T^b = T^{a \star b}.$$

Then we have the following result, whose direct but cumbersome proof is left to the reader:

Lemma 17. $(\mathcal{A}^\bullet, d, \star)$ is a DGA.

Let us now remind the following definition:

Definition 18. Let $(A, \star, d)$ be a DGA. The solutions of the **Maurer-Cartan equation**

$$da + a \star a = 0$$

are called **Maurer-Cartan elements**. The set of all Maurer-Cartan elements of A will be denoted by $\text{MC}(A)$.

Remark 19. The set $\text{MC}(A)$ is a subset of $\mathcal{A}^1$.

Theorem 20. Let φ be a volume-preserving action of a Lie group G on $\mathbb{R}^d$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set $\text{MC}(\mathcal{A}^\bullet)$ of Maurer-Cartan elements in the complex of G -amplitudes and the set of (nonunitary) G -systems of amplitudes associated with the action φ .

Proof. Let $a \in \mathcal{A}^1$. Then the associated collection of operators $T_g^a : L^2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a representation of G if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= T_{g_1 g_2}^a - T_{g_1}^a T_{g_2}^a, \\ 0 &= \text{Op}(a_{g_1 g_2}, \varphi_{g_1 g_2}) - T_{g_1 g_2}^{a \star a}, \\ 0 &= \text{Op}((da)_{g_1, g_2} - (a \star a)_{g_1, g_2}, \varphi_{g_1 g_2}), \end{aligned}$$

that is iff $da + a \star a = 0$. The unitality condition $T_e^a = \text{id}$ is taken care of by the requirement on the cochains that $a_e = 1$. $\square$

Remark 21. The Maurer-Cartan equation applied to an ansatz of the form (3.4) yields back the cocycle condition of Proposition 13. Namely,

$$(de^{iS})_{g_1, g_2}(x) = -e^{iS_{g_1 g_2}(x)} \text{ and } (e^{iS} \star e^{iS})_{g_1, g_2}(x) = e^{i(S_{g_1}(x) + S_{g_2}(\varphi_{g_1}^{-1}(x)))},$$

which implies that $e^{iS} \in \text{MC}(\mathcal{A}^\bullet)$ if and only if $\delta S = 0$.

This last theorem allows us to look for asymptotic expansions of G -systems if we permit the amplitudes to also depend on the parameter $\hbar$. Suppose that our amplitudes are given by an asymptotic expansion of the form

$$(4.4) \quad a_g^0(x, \xi) + a_g^1(x, \xi)\hbar + a_g^2(x, \xi)\hbar^2 + \cdots,$$

where $a_g^i \in S_{2d}(1)$ for all i . Then the Borel summation lemma (see [15] for instance) guarantees that there exists a symbol a_h in $S_{2d}(1)$ depending on $\hbar$ whose asymptotic expansion in $\hbar$ yields back (4.4).

Finally, applying the Maurer-Cartan equation to (4.4) and reading it order by order, we obtain a infinite system of recursive equations for our G -systems:

$$da^k + \sum_{i+j=k} a^i \star a^j = 0, \quad k \geq 0.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] B. Agrebaoui, F. Ammar, P. Lecomte, and V. Ovsienko, Multi-parameter deformations of the module of symbols of differential operators, *Int. Math. Res.* **16** (2002), 847–869.
- [2] D. Arnal, $\ast$ products and representations of nilpotent groups, *Pacific J. Math.* **114** (1984), 285–308.
- [3] D. Arnal, N. Dahmene, K. Tounsi, Poisson action and formality, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **82** (2007), 177–189.
- [4] A. Astashkevich, R. Brylinski, Non-local equivariant star product on the minimal nilpotent orbit, *Adv. Math.* **171** (2002), 86–102.
- [5] F. Bayen, M. Flato, C. Fronsdal, A. Lichnerowicz, and D. Sternheimer, Deformation theory and quantization, I and II, *Ann. Phys.* **111** (1977), 61–151.
- [6] P. Bieliavsky and V. Gayral, Deformation Quantization for Actions of Kahlerian Lie Groups Part I: Fréchet Algebras, arXiv:1109.3419 (2011).
- [7] Bieliavsky, Pierre ; Massar, Marc . Strict deformation quantization for actions of a class of symplectic Lie groups. Noncommutative geometry and string theory (Yokohama, 2001). *Progr. Theoret. Phys. Suppl. No. 144* (2001), 1–21.
- [8] H. Duistermaat, *Fourier Integral Operators*, Progress in Mathematics **30**, Birkhäuser (1996).
- [9] A. P. Calderón and R. Vaillancourt, On the boundedness of pseudodifferential operators, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **23** (1971), 374–378.
- [10] C. Evans and M. Zworski, *Semiclassical Analysis*, <http://math.berkeley.edu/~evans/seminclassical.pdf>.
- [11] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, Geometric quantization and multiplicities of group representations, *Invent. Math.* **67** (1982), 515–538.
- [12] L. Hörmander, *The Analysis of Linear Partial Differential Operators III, Pseudodifferential Operators*, Springer-Verlag (2007).
- [13] A. A. Kirillov, The orbit method. I. Geometric quantization. Representation theory of groups and algebras, *Contemp. Math.* **145** (1993), 1–32.

- [14] B. Kostant, *Quantization and Unitary Representations*, Lect. Notes in Math. **170**, Springer (1970), 87–208.
- [15] A. Martinez, *An Introduction to Semiclassical and Microlocal Analysis*, Springer-Verlag (2001).
- [16] A. Nijenhuis, R. W. Richardson, Deformations of homomorphisms of Lie groups and Lie algebras, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **73** (1967), 175–179.
- [17] V. Ovsienko, C. Roger, Deforming the Lie algebra of vector fields on S^1 inside the Lie algebra of pseudodifferential symbols on S^1 , *Differential topology, infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, and applications*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **194** (1999), 211–226.
- [18] M. A. Rieffel, Deformation quantization for actions of $\mathbb{R}^d$, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **106** (1993).
- [19] M. A. Rieffel, Deformation quantization of Heisenberg manifolds, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **122** (1989), 531–562.
- [20] N. Woodhouse, *Geometric Quantization*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press (1980).
- [21] G. Lefchner and S. Waldmann, Strict deformation quantization of locally convex algebras and modules, preprint arXiv:1109.5950 (2011).
- [22] P. Xu, Fedosov *-products and quantum momentum maps, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **197** (1998), 167–197.

BENOIT DHERIN AND IGOR MENCATTINI, ICMC-USP UNIVERSIDADE DE SO PAULO, AVENIDA TRABALHADOR SO-CARLENSE 400 CENTRO, CEP: 13566-590, SO CARLOS, SP, BRAZIL